arXiv:1402.3800v2 [math.NT] 3 Jul 2014

Distribution of zeros and zero-density estimates for the derivatives of *L*-functions attached to cusp forms

Yoshikatsu Yashiro

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University,
464-8602 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan
E-mail: m09050b@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Let f be a holomorphic cusp form of weight k with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is a normalized Hecke eigenform, $L_f(s)$ the L-function attached to the form f. In this paper, we shall give the relation of the number of zeros of $L_f(s)$ and the derivatives of $L_f(s)$ using Berndt's method, and an estimate of zero-density of the derivatives of $L_f(s)$ based on Littlewood's method.

1 Introduction

Let f be a cusp form of weight k for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is a normalized Hecke eigenform. Let $a_f(n)$ be the n-th Fourier coefficient of f and set $\lambda_f(n) = a_f(n)/n^{(k-1)/2}$. Rankin showed that $\sum_{n\leq x}|\lambda_f(n)|^2 = C_f x + O(x^{3/5})$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where C_f is a positive constant depending on f (see [8, (4.2.3), p.364]). The L-function attached to f is defined by

$$L_f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p:\text{prime}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}\left(1-\frac{\beta_f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \quad (\text{Re } s > 1), \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M26; Secondary 11N75.
Key words and phrases: cusp forms, L-functions, derivative, zeros.

where $\alpha_f(p)$ and $\beta_f(p)$ satisfy $\alpha_f(p)+\beta_f(p)=\lambda_f(p)$ and $\alpha_f(p)\beta_f(p)=1$. By Hecke's work ([4]), the function $L_f(s)$ is analytically continued to the whole s-plane by

$$(2\pi)^{-s-\frac{k-1}{2}}\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2})L_f(s)=\int_0^\infty f(iy)y^{s+\frac{k-1}{2}-1}dy, \tag{1.2}$$

and has a functional equation

$$L_f(s)=\chi_f(s)L_f(1-s)$$

where $\chi_f(s)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_f(s)=&(-1)^{-\frac{k}{2}}(2\pi)^{2s-1}\frac{\Gamma(1-s+\frac{k-1}{2})}{\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2})}\\ =&2(2\pi)^{-2(1-s)}\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\cos\pi(1-s).\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The second equality is deduced from the fact $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)=\pi/\sin(\pi s)$ and $\sin\pi(s+(k-1)/2)=(-1)^{k/2}\cos\pi(1-s)$. Similarly to the case of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, it is conjectured that all complex zeros of $L_f(s)$ lie on the critical line $\mathrm{Re}\ s=1/2$, namely, the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH). In order to support the truth of the GRH, the distribution and the density of complex zeros of $L_f(s)$ are studied without assuming the GRH.

Lekkerkerker [6] proved the approximate formula of a number of complex zeros of $L_f(s)$:

$$N_f(T)=\frac{T}{\pi}\log\frac{T}{2\pi e}+O(\log T), \tag{1.4}$$

where $T>0$ is sufficiently large, and $N_f(T)$ denotes the number of complex zeros of $L_f(s)$ in $0<\mathrm{Im}\ s\le T$. The formula (1.4) is an analogy of $N(T)$ which denotes the number of complex zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in $0<\mathrm{Im}\ s\le T$. Riemann [9] showed that

$$N(T)=\frac{T}{2\pi}\log\frac{T}{2\pi e}+O(\log T). \tag{1.5}$$

(Later von Mangoldt [14] proved (1.5) rigorously.) In the Riemann zeta function, the zeros of derivative of $\zeta(s)$ have a connection with RH. Speiser

[10] showed that the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is equivalent to the non-existence of complex zero of $\zeta'(s)$ in Re $s < 1/2$, where $\zeta'(s)$ denotes the derivative function of $\zeta(s)$. Levinson and Montgomery [7] proved that if RH is true, then $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ has at most finitely many complex zeros in $0 <$ Re $s < 1/2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

There are many studies of the zeros of $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ without assuming RH. Spira [11], [12] showed that there exist $\sigma_m \geq (7m+8)/4$ and $\alpha_m < 0$ such that $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ has no zero for Re $s \leq \sigma_m$ and Re $s \leq \alpha_m$, and exactly one real zero in each open interval $(-1-2n, 1-2n)$ for $1-2n \leq \alpha_m$. Later, Yıldırım [16] showed that $\zeta''(s)$ and $\zeta'''(s)$ have no zeros in the strip $0 \leq$ Re $s < 1/2$. Berndt [2] gave the relation of the number of complex zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$:

$$N_m(T) = N(T) - \frac{T \log 2}{2\pi} + O(\log T), \tag{1.6}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is fixed and $N_m(T)$ denotes the number of complex zeros of $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ in $0 <$ Im $s \leq T$. Recently, Aoki and Minamide [1] studied the density of zeros of $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ in the right hand side of critical line Re $s = 1/2$ by using Littlewood's method. Let $N_m(\sigma, T)$ be the number of zeros of $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ in Re $s \geq \sigma$ and $0 <$ Im $s \leq T$. They showed that

$$N_m(\sigma, T) = O\left(\frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2}\right), \tag{1.7}$$

uniformly for $\sigma > 1/2$. From (1.6) and (1.7), we see that almost all complex zeros of $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ lie in the neighbourhood of the critical line.

The purpose of this paper is to study the corresponding results of Berndt, Aoki and Minamide for the derivatives of $L_f(s)$, namely, the relation between the number of complex zeros of $L_f(s)$ and that of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$, and the density of zeros of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ in the right half plane Re $s > 1/2$. Let n_f be the smallest integer greater than 1 such that $\lambda_f(n_f) \neq 0$. Here $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ denotes the m-th derivative of $L_f(s)$ given by

$$L_f^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} = \sum_{n=n_f}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)(-\log n)^m}{n^s} \quad (\text{Re } s > 1),$$

Differentiating both sides of (1.2), we find that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ is holomorphic in the whole s-plane and has the functional equation:

$$L_f^{(m)}(s) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{m}{r} (-1)^r \chi_f^{(m-r)}(s) L_f^{(r)}(1-s). \tag{1.8}$$

First in order to achieve the above purpose, we shall show the zero free regions for $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ by following Berndt's method (see [2]) and Spira's method (see [11], [12]).

Theorem 1.1. *The following assertions hold for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

(i) There exists $\sigma_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zero for $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_{f,m}$.

(ii) For any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, there exists $\delta_{f,m,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}_{>(k-1)/2+1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zero for $|s| \geq \delta_{f,m,\varepsilon}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re} s \leq -\varepsilon$ and $|\operatorname{Im} s| \geq \varepsilon$.

(iii) There exists $\alpha_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{<-(k-1)/2-1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has only real zeros for $\operatorname{Re} s \leq \alpha_{f,m}$, and one real zero in each interval $(n-1, n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \alpha_{f,m}}$.

Next, based on Berndt's proof, we can obtain the following formula of the numbers of complex zeros of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$:

Theorem 1.2. *For any fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let $N_{f,m}(T)$ be the number of complex zeros of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ in $0 < \operatorname{Im} s \leq T$. Then for any large $T > 0$, we have*

$$N_{f,m}(T) = \frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} - \frac{T}{2\pi} \log n_f + O(\log T).$$

Moreover the relation between $N_f(T)$ and $N_{f,m}(T)$ are given by

$$N_{f,m}(T) = N_f(T) - \frac{T}{2\pi} \log n_f + O(\log T).$$

Finally using the mean value formula for $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ obtained in [15] and Littlewood's method, we obtain the estimate of density of zeros:

Theorem 1.3. *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $N_{f,m}(\sigma, T)$ be the number of complex zeros of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ in $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma$ and $0 < \operatorname{Im} s \leq T$. For any large $T > 0$, we have*

$$N_{f,m}(\sigma, T) = O\left(\frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2}\right) \tag{1.9}$$

uniformly for $1/2 < \sigma \leq 1$. More precisely we have

$$\begin{aligned} &N_{f,m}(\sigma, T) \\ &\leq \frac{2m+1}{2\pi} \frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{1}{\sigma - 1/2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \log \frac{(2m)! n_f C_f}{|\lambda_f(n_f)|^2 (\log n_f)^{2m}} + \\ &\quad + O(\log T) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{T}{\sigma - 1/2} \times \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1} (\log T)^{2m}}{T^{2\sigma-1}}\right)\right), & 1/2 < \sigma < 1, \\ \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1} (\log T)^{2m+2}}{T}\right)\right), & \sigma = 1, \\ \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}}{T}\right)\right), & 1 < \sigma < \sigma_{f,m}, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\sigma_{f,m}$ is given by (i) of Theorem 1.1.

1.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First in order to show (i), we write $L_f^{(m)}(s) = \lambda_f(n_f)(-\log n_f)^m F(s) n_f^{-s}$ where

$$F(s) = 1 + \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\lambda_f(n_f)} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n_f}\right)^m \left(\frac{n_f}{n}\right)^s \quad (\operatorname{Re} s > 1). \tag{1.11}$$

Deligne's result $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ gives that there exist $c_f \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\sigma_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ depending on f and m such that

$$|F(\sigma + it) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \left|\frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\lambda_f(n_f)}\right| \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n_f}\right)^m \left(\frac{n_f}{n}\right)^{\sigma}$$

$$\leq c_f \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n/\log n_f)^m}{(n/n_f)^{\sigma-\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{1.12}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq \sigma_{f,m}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where ε is an arbitrary positive number. Hence $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zeros for Re $s \geq \sigma_{f,m}$, that is, (i) is showed.

Next we shall show (ii) and (iii). Replacing s to $1-s$ in (1.3) and (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^m L_f^{(m)}(1-s)\\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{m}{r} L_f^{(m-r)}(s) \left(2(2\pi)^{-2s}\cos(\pi s)\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2})\right)^{(r)}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

By the facts $(\cos(\pi s))^{(r)} = \pi^r(a_r\cos(\pi s)+b_r\sin(\pi s))$ where $a_r, b_r \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $((2\pi)^{-2s})^{(r)} = (-2\log 2\pi)^r(2\pi)^{-2s}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the formula (1.13) is written as

$$(-1)^m L_f^{(m)}(1-s) = 2(2\pi)^{-2s} \sum_{r=0}^{m} R_{m-r}(s)(\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^{(r)}, \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$R_{m-r}(s) = \cos(\pi s)\sum_{j=0}^{m-r} a'_j L_f^{(j)}(s) + \sin(\pi s)\sum_{j=0}^{m-r} b'_j L_f^{(j)}(s)$$

and $a'_r, b'_r \in \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that $a'_0 = 1$, $b'_0 = 0$ and $R_0(s) = L_f(s)\cos(\pi s)$. Moreover we write (1.14) as

$$\frac{(-1)^m L_f^{(m)}(1-s)}{2(2\pi)^{-2s}} = f(s) + g(s) \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) =& R_0(s)(\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^{(m)},\\ g(s) =& \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} R_{m-r}(s)(\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^{(r)}.\end{aligned}$$

The formula (1.15) implies that if $|f(s)| > |g(s)|$ in a some region then $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zero in this region.

In order to investigate the behavior of $f(s)$ and $g(s)$, we shall consider the approximate formula for $(\Gamma(s-\frac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2}))^{(r)}/(\Gamma(s-\frac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2}))$. By Stirling's formula, it is known that

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s) = \log s - \frac{1}{2s} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\{u\} - 1/2}{(u+s)^2} du$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta$ where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is fixed (see [5, Theorem A.5 b)]). Writing the right-hand side of the above formula to $G^{(1)}(s)$ and putting $G^{(j)}(s) = (d^{j-1}/ds)G^{(1)}(s)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 1.4 ([15, Lemma 2.3])**.** *Let F and G be holomorphic function in the region D such that $F(s) \neq 0$ and $\log F(s) = G(s)$ for $s \in D$. Then for any fixed $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exist $l_1, \cdots, l_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $C_{(l_1,\cdots,l_r)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that*

$$\frac{F^{(r)}}{F}(s) = \sum_{1l_1+\cdots+rl_r=r} C_{(l_1,\cdots,l_r)} (G^{(1)}(s))^{l_1} \cdots (G^{(r)}(s))^{l_r}$$

for $s \in D$. Especially $C_{(r,0,\cdots,0)} = 1$.

The estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |u+s|^2 =& u^2 + |s|^2 + 2u|s| \cos\arg s \\ \geq& \begin{cases} |s|^2, & u \leq |s|,\ |\arg s| \leq \pi/2, \\ |s|^2(\sin\arg s)^2, & u \leq |s|,\ \pi/2 \leq |\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta, \\ u^2, & u \geq |s|,\ |\arg s| \leq \pi/2, \\ 4(1+\cos\arg s)u^2, & u \geq |s|,\ \pi/2 \leq |\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

give

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\{u\} - 1/2}{(u+s)^{j+1}} du \ll \int_0^{|s|} \frac{du}{|s|^{j+1}} + \int_{|s|}^\infty \frac{du}{u^{j+1}} \ll \frac{1}{|s|^j}$$

for $|\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then $G^{(j)}(s)$ is calculated as

$$G^{(1)}(s) = \log s + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right),$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{(j)}(s) =& \frac{(-1)^{j-1}(j-2)!}{s^{j-1}} + \frac{(-1)^j(j-1)!}{2s^j} + (-1)^{j+1} j! \int_0^\infty \frac{\{u\}-1/2}{(u+s)^{j+1}} du \\
=& O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^{j-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Hence the approximate formula for $(\Gamma^{(r)}/\Gamma)(s)$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma^{(r)}}{\Gamma}(s) =& (G^{(1)}(s))^r + O\left(\sum_{1q_1+\cdots+rq_r=r,\ q_1\neq r} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |G^{(j)}(s)|^{q_j}\right) \\
=& \left(\log s + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|}\right)\right)^r + O\left(\frac{|\log s|^{r-1}}{|s|}\right) = (\log s)^r \sum_{j=0}^{r} \frac{M_j(s)}{(\log s)^j}
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.16}
$$

for $|\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $M_j(s)$ is given by $M_j(s) = O(1/|s|^j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $M_0(s) = 1$. Using (1.16) and the trivial estimates $|1 \pm (k-1)/2s| < 2 < |s|^{1/2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |s \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2}| = |s| \times |1 \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2s}| \gg |s|, \\
& \log|s \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2}| = (\log|s|) \times \left(1 + \frac{\log|1 \pm \frac{k-1}{2s}|}{\log|s|}\right) \gg \log|s|, \\
& \log(s \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2}) \ll \sqrt{(\log|s \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2}|)^2 + (\arg(s \pm \tfrac{k-1}{2}))^2} \ll \log|s|
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.17}
$$

for $|s| > (k-1)/2$, we obtain a desired formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\Gamma(s-\frac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2}))^{(l)}}{\Gamma(s-\frac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\frac{k-1}{2})} \\
=& \sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \frac{\Gamma^{(j)}}{\Gamma}(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma^{(l-j)}}{\Gamma}(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}) \\
=& \sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} (\log(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^j (\log(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^{l-j} \times \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{0\leq j_1+j_2\leq l, \\ 0\leq j_1\leq j,\ 0\leq j_2\leq l-j}} \frac{M_{j_1}(s-\frac{k-1}{2})}{(\log(s-\frac{k-1}{2}))^{j_1}} \frac{M_{j_2}(s+\frac{k-1}{2})}{(\log(s+\frac{k-1}{2}))^{j_2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$=S_l(s)+T_l(s) \tag{1.18}$$

for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|s| > (k-1)/2$ and $|\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta$, where $S_l(s)$, $T_l(s)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_l(s) =&(\log(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})+\log(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2}))^l, \\ T_l(s) =&O\left(\frac{1}{|s|\log|s|}\sum_{j=0}^{l}(\log|s|)^j(\log|s|)^{l-j}\right)=O\left(\frac{(\log|s|)^{l-1}}{|s|}\right) \end{aligned}$$

respectively for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, especially $S_0(s)=1$ and $T_0(s)=0$.

Next using $R_r(s)$, $S_r(s)$ and $T_r(s)$, we shall write a condition which gives $|f(s)| > |g(s)|$ for some region. From (1.15) and (1.18), the inequality $|f(s)| > |g(s)|$ is equivalent to

$$|S_m(s)+T_m(s)| > \left|\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\frac{R_r}{R_0}(s)(S_r(s)+T_r(s))\right|.$$

Dividing the both sides of the above formula by $S_{m-1}(s)$ and applying the triangle inequality, we see that if

$$|S_1(s)| > \left|\frac{T_m}{S_{m-1}}(s)\right| + \left|\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\frac{R_r}{R_0}(s)\left(\frac{1}{S_{m-1-r}}(s)+\frac{T_r}{S_{m-1}}(s)\right)\right| \tag{1.19}$$

is true, then $|f(s)| > |g(s)|$ is true for $|s| > (k-1)/2$ and $|\arg s| \leq \pi - \delta$. To show the truth of (1.19), we shall consider an upper bound of $(1/S_r)(s)$, $T_r(s)$ and $(R_r/R_0)(s)$. The estimates (1.17) and $|\log z| \geq \log|z|$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ give

$$\left|\frac{1}{S_r}(s)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(\log|s-\frac{k-1}{2}|+\log|s+\frac{k-1}{2}|)^r} \leq \frac{C_1}{(\log|s|)^r} \tag{1.20}$$

for the above s and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, here and later $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ denote positive constants depending on f, r and δ. Since $L_f^{(j)}(s)$ and $(1/L_f)(s)$ are absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > 1$, it follows that

$$\left|\frac{R_r}{R_0}(s)\right| = \left|\sum_{j=0}^{r}a'_j\frac{L_f^{(j)}}{L_f}(s)+\tan(\pi s)\sum_{j=0}^{r}b'_j\frac{L_f^{(j)}}{L_f}(s)\right| \leq C_2 + C_3|\tan(\pi s)| \tag{1.21}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq 1+\varepsilon$. Here $\tan(\pi s)$ is estimated as

$$|\tan \pi(\sigma+it)| = \left|\frac{e^{-2t}e^{2\pi i\sigma}-1}{e^{-2t}e^{2\pi i\sigma}+1}\right| \leq \begin{cases} 2/(1-e^{-2\varepsilon}), & \text{if } |t| \geq \varepsilon, \\ 3, & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \tag{1.22}$$

where ε is a fixed positive number.

Combining (1.20)–(1.22), we see that the right-hand side of (1.19) is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\frac{T_m}{S_{m-1}}(s)\right| + \left|\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \frac{R_r}{R_0}(s)\left(\frac{1}{S_{m-1-r}}(s) + \frac{T_r}{S_{m-1}}(s)\right)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{C_4}{|s|} + C_5|\tan(\pi s)| \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{(\log|s|)^{m-1-r}} + \frac{1}{|s|(\log|s|)^{m-r}}\right) \leq C_{f,m,\delta,\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

for $|s| > (k-1)/2$ and $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq 1+\varepsilon$ provided $|\mathrm{Im}\, s| \geq \varepsilon$ or $\mathrm{Re}\, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $C_{f,m,\delta,\varepsilon}$ is a positive constant depending on f, m, δ and ε. Fix $\delta = \tan^{-1}(2\varepsilon/(k-1))$ and choose $r_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>(k-1)/2}$ such that $C_{f,m,\delta,\varepsilon} < (\log r_{f,m})/C_1$. The inequalities (1.20) and (1.23) imply that (1.19) is true, that is, $L_f^{(m)}(1-s)$ has no zero for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|s| \geq r_{f,m}$, $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq 1+\varepsilon$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\, s| \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore, we conclude that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ there exists $\delta_{f,m,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}_{>(k-1)/2+1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zero in the region $|s| \geq \delta_{f,m,\varepsilon}$, $\mathrm{Re}\, s \leq -\varepsilon$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\, s| > \varepsilon$, that is, the proof of (ii) is completed.

Finally we shall show (iii) applying Rouché's theorem to $f(s)$ and $g(s)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ let D_n be the region $n \leq \mathrm{Re}\, s \leq n+1$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\, s| \leq 1/2$. By (1.22) and (1.23), we see that there exists $\delta_{f,m,1/2} \in \mathbb{R}_{>(k-1)/2}$ such that $|f(s)| > |g(s)|$ is true in the boundary of D_n and the region $|s| > \delta_{f,m,1/2}$ and $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq 1+1/2$. Then the number of zeros of $f(s)$ is equal to that of $f(s)+g(s)$ in the interior of D_n. From (1.14), (1.15) and (1.18), the function $f(s)$ is written as

$$f(s) = L_f(s)\cos(\pi s)\Gamma(s-\tfrac{k-1}{2})\Gamma(s+\tfrac{k-1}{2})(S_m(s)+T_m(s)). \tag{1.24}$$

When $R_{f,m}$ is chosen such that $R_{f,m} \geq \delta_{f,m,1/2}$ and $C_6/(R_{f,m}\log R_{f,m}) < 1$, the formula (1.20) gives

$$\left|\frac{T_m}{S_m}(s)\right| \leq \frac{C_6}{|s|\log|s|} < 1, \tag{1.25}$$

that is, $S_m(s) + T_m(s)$ has no zero for $|s| \geq R_{f,m}$. Hence $f(s)$ has the only real zero $s = n + 1/2$ in D_n. It is clear to show $\overline{f(s)} = f(\overline{s})$ and $\overline{g(s)} = g(\overline{s})$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, which imply that $L_f^{(m)}(1-s)$ has a only real zero in the interior of D_n. Replacing $1-s$ to s, we obtain the fact that there exists $\alpha_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{<-(k-1)/2-1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no complex zero for $\operatorname{Re} s < \alpha_{f,m}$ and one real zero in each open interval $(n-1, n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq \alpha_{f,m}}$. The proof of (iii) is completed.

1.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Using Theorem 1.1, we can choose $\alpha_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{<-(k-1)/2}$ and $\sigma_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zeros in the region $\operatorname{Re} s \leq \alpha_{f,m}$ and $\operatorname{Re} s \geq \sigma_{f,m}$. Moreover, choose $\tau_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>2}$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ has no zeros for $0 < \operatorname{Im} s \leq \tau_{f,m}$ and $\operatorname{Im} s = T$. Using the residue theorem in the region $\alpha_{f,m} \leq \operatorname{Re} s \leq \sigma_{f,m}$ and $\tau_{f,m} \leq \operatorname{Im} s \leq T$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f,m}(T) =& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{\alpha_{f,m}+i\tau_{f,m}}^{\sigma_{f,m}+i\tau_{f,m}} + \int_{\sigma_{f,m}+i\tau_{f,m}}^{\sigma_{f,m}+iT} + \int_{\sigma_{f,m}+iT}^{\alpha_{f,m}+iT} + \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\alpha_{f,m}+iT}^{\alpha_{f,m}+i\tau_{f,m}}\right)(\log L_f^{(m)}(s))' ds =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

First, it is clear that

$$I_1 = \frac{\log L_f^{(m)}(\sigma_{f,m} + i\tau_{f,m}) - \log L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m} + i\tau_{f,m})}{2\pi i} = O(1). \tag{1.27}$$

To approximate I_2, we write $L_f^{(m)}(s) = \lambda_f(n_f)(-\log n_f)^m F(s) n_f^{-s}$ where $F(s)$ is given by (1.11). Using (1.12) we find that $1/2 \leq |F(s)| \leq 3/2$, $\operatorname{Re} F(s) \geq 1/2$ and $|\arg F(s)| < \pi/2$ for $s = \sigma_{f,m} + it$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Hence I_2 is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 =& \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left[\log \frac{\lambda_f(n_f)(-\log n_f)^m}{n_f^s} + \log F(s)\right]_{\sigma_{f,m}+i\tau_{f,m}}^{\sigma_{f,m}+iT} \\ =& \frac{-(\sigma_{f,m} + iT)\log n_f}{2\pi i} + O(1) = -\frac{T}{2\pi}\log n_f + O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.28}$$

Next we shall estimate I_3. The formula (1.8), the approximate functional equation for $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ (see [15, Theorem 1.2]) and Rankin's result, there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $L_f^{(m)}(\sigma + it) = O(|t|^A)$ uniformly for $\sigma \in [\alpha_{f,m}, \sigma_{f,m}]$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 =& \frac{\log L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m} + iT) - \log L_f^{(m)}(\sigma_{f,m} + iT)}{2\pi i} \\ =& \frac{\arg L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m} + iT) - \arg L_f^{(m)}(\sigma_{f,m} + iT)}{2\pi} + O(\log T). \end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

To estimate the first term of the right-hand side of (1.29), we write $L_f^{(m)}(\sigma + iT) = (-1)^m e^{-iT\log n_f} \lambda_f(n_f) G(\sigma + iT)$ where

$$G(\sigma + iT) = \frac{(\log n_f)^m}{n_f^\sigma} + \frac{1}{\lambda_f(n_f)} \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)(\log n)^m}{n^\sigma} e^{iT\log\frac{n_f}{n}}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$. Let Q be the number of zeros of $\operatorname{Re} G(s)$ on the line segment $(\alpha_{f,m} + iT, \sigma_{f,m} + iT)$. Divide this line into $Q+1$ subintervals by these zeros. Then the sign of $\operatorname{Re} G(s)$ is constant, and the variation of $\arg G(s)$ is at most π on each subinterval. Hence, there exists constant C such that $\arg G(s) = \arg L_f^{(m)}(s) + C$ on the divided line, it follows that

$$|\arg L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m} + iT) - \arg L_f^{(m)}(\sigma_{f,m} + iT)| \leq (Q+1)\pi. \tag{1.30}$$

In order to estimate Q, let $H(z) = (G(z + iT) + \overline{G(\overline{z} + iT)})/2$. Then we find that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\sigma) =& \operatorname{Re} G(\sigma + iT) \\ =& \frac{(\log n_f)^m}{n_f^\sigma} \left(1 + \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\lambda_f(n_f)} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n_f} \right)^m \left(\frac{n_f}{n} \right)^\sigma \cos\left(T\log\frac{n_f}{n} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.31}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$. The formulas (1.12) and (1.31) give

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\log n_f)^m}{{n_f}^{\sigma_{f,m}}} \leq H(\sigma_{f,m}) \leq \frac{3}{2}\frac{(\log n_f)^m}{{n_f}^{\sigma_{f,m}}}. \tag{1.32}$$

Take T sufficiently large such that $T-\tau_{f,m} > 2(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m})$ if necessary. Since $\mathrm{Im}(z+iT) \geq T-(T-\tau_{f,m}) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z-\sigma_{f,m}| < T-\tau_{f,m}$, it follows that $H(z)$ is analytic in the circle $|z-\sigma_{f,m}| < T-\tau_{f,m}$. Note that there exists a positive constant B such that $H(z) = O(T^B)$ in this circle because of the fact that $L_f(\sigma+it) = O(|t|^A)$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, let $P(u)$ be the number of zeros of $H(z)$ in $|z-\sigma_{f,m}| \leq u$. Then using the trivial estimate

$$\begin{aligned} P(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m}) &\leq \frac{1}{\log 2}\int_{\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m}}^{2(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m})} \frac{P(u)}{u}du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log 2}\int_{0}^{2(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m})} \frac{P(u)}{u}du, \end{aligned}$$

Jensen's formula (see [13, Chapter 3.61]), the above note and (1.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m}) \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{2(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m})} \frac{P(u)}{u}du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi} \log|H(\sigma_{f,m}+2(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m})e^{i\theta})|d\theta - \log|H(\sigma_{f,m})| \\ &\ll \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log T^B d\theta + 1 \ll \log T, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Q is estimated as

$$Q = \#\{\sigma \in (\alpha_{f,m}, \sigma_{f,m}) \mid F(\sigma) = 0\} \ll P(\sigma_{f,m}-\alpha_{f,m}) \ll \log T. \quad (1.33)$$

Combining (1.29), (1.30), (1.33), we obtain the estimate of I_3:

$$I_3 = O(\log T). \quad (1.34)$$

Finally in order to approximate I_4, we shall obtain the approximate formula for $\log L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m}+iT)$ as $T \to \infty$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists $\delta_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\left|\frac{g}{f}(1-s)\right| < 1, \quad \left|\frac{T_m}{S_m}(1-s)\right| < 1 \quad (1.35)$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ in the region $|s-(1-(k-1)/2)| > \delta_{f,m}$, Re $s < 1-(k-1)/2$ and $|\mathrm{Im}\ s| > 1/2$. Here choose $\alpha_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ such that $\alpha_{f,m} < 1-(k-1)/2-\delta_{f,m}$ if necessary. Then the path of I_4 is contained in the above region. Replacing s to $1-s$ and taking logarithmic function in the both sides of (1.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\log L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m}+iT) = &-2(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\log 2\pi + \log f(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)+ \\ &+\log\left(1+\frac{g}{f}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right)+O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.36}$$

The first formula of (1.35) gives $|\arg(1+(g/f)(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT))| < \pi/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\log\left(1+\frac{g}{f}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right) \\ &\ll \sqrt{\left|1+\frac{g}{f}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right|^2+\left(\arg\left(1+\frac{g}{f}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right)\right)^2} \ll 1.\end{aligned} \tag{1.37}$$

By (1.24), the second term of the right-hand side of (1.36) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\log f(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT) \\ &=\log\Gamma(1-\alpha_{f,m}-\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)+\log\Gamma(1-\alpha_{f,m}+\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)+ \\ &\quad+\log S_m(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)+\log\left(1+\frac{T_m}{S_m}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right)+ \\ &\quad+\log L_f(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)+\log\cos\pi(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT).\end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

Now it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}&\cos\pi(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT) = e^{\pi T}e^{i(1-\alpha_{f,m})-\log 2}(1+e^{-2\pi(1-\alpha_{f,m})i}/e^{2\pi T}), \\ &\log L_f(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_f(n)}{n^{1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT}}\end{aligned}$$

where $b_f(n)$ is given by

$$b_f(n) = \begin{cases}(\alpha_f(p)^r+\beta_f(p)^r)/r, & n=p^r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and $\alpha_f(p)$, $\beta_f(p)$ are given by (1.1). Hence the fifth and sixth terms of the right-hand sides of (1.38) are approximated as

$$\log L_f(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)+\log\cos\pi(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)=\pi T+O(1). \tag{1.39}$$

By the similar discussion of (1.37), the fourth term of the right-hand sides of (1.38) is estimated as

$$\log\left(1+\frac{T_m}{S_m}(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\right)\ll 1. \tag{1.40}$$

The trivial approximate formula

$$\log(1-\alpha_{f,m}\pm\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)=\log T-(\pi/2)i+O(1/T)$$

gives that the third term of the right-hand sides of (1.38) is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}&\log S_m(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)\\&=m\log\big(\log(1-\alpha_{f,m}-\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)+\log(1-\alpha_{f,m}+\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)\big)\\&=m\log\log T+O(1).\end{aligned} \tag{1.41}$$

Using Stirling's formula

$$\log\Gamma(s)=(s-1/2)\log s-s+\log\sqrt{2\pi}+O(1/|s|)$$

and the approximate formula of $\log(1-\alpha_{f,m}\pm(k-1)/2-iT)$, we approximate the first and second terms of the right-hand sides of (1.38) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\log\Gamma(1-\alpha_{f,m}+\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)+\log\Gamma(1-\alpha_{f,m}-\tfrac{k-1}{2}-iT)\\&=(1-2\alpha_{f,m}-2iT)(\log T-(\pi/2)i+O(1/T))-2(1-\alpha_{f,m}-iT)+O(1)\\&=-2iT\log(T/e)-\pi T+(1-2\alpha_{f,m})\log T+O(1).\end{aligned} \tag{1.42}$$

Combining (1.36)–(1.42), we obtain a desired approximate formula as

$$\log L_f^{(m)}(\alpha_{f,m}+iT)=-2iT\log\frac{T}{2\pi e}+O(\log T),$$

which implies that

$$I_4=\frac{T}{\pi}\log\frac{T}{2\pi e}+O(\log T). \tag{1.43}$$

From (1.27), (1.28), (1.34) and (1.43), the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

1.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Write $L_f^{(m)}(s) = \lambda_f(n_f)(-\log n_f)^m F(s)/n_f^s$ where $F(s)$ is given by (1.11). By the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can choose $\sigma_{f,m} \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that $L_f(s)$ has no zero for $\mathrm{Re}\, s > \sigma_{f,m}$ and

$$\sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \left|\frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\lambda_f(n_f)}\right| \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n_f}\right)^m \left(\frac{n_f}{n}\right)^{\sigma_{f,m}/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that (1.12) and the above inequality give

$$|F(s)-1| \leq \sum_{n=n_f+1}^{\infty} \left|\frac{\lambda_f(n)}{\lambda_f(n_f)}\right| \left(\frac{\log n}{\log n_f}\right)^m \left(\frac{n_f}{n}\right)^{\sigma_{f,m}/2+\sigma/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{n_f}{n_f+1}\right)^{\sigma/2}. \tag{1.44}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}\, s \geq \sigma_{f,m}$. Applying Littlewood's formula (see [13, chapter 3.8]) to $F(s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0,\\ \sigma\leq \mathrm{Re}\,\rho\leq\sigma_{f,m},\\ 1\leq \mathrm{Im}\,\rho\leq T}} (\mathrm{Re}\,\rho-\sigma) &= \int_1^T \log|F(\sigma+it)|dt - \int_1^T \log|F(\sigma_{f,m}+it)|dt + \\ &\quad + \int_\sigma^{\sigma_{f,m}} \arg F(u+iT)dt - \int_\sigma^{\sigma_{f,m}} \arg F(u+i)dt \\ &=: I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4 \end{aligned} \tag{1.45}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{>1/2}$. Here we shall estimate I_2. Cauchy's theorem gives that

$$I_2 = \int_1^T \log|F(v+it)|dt + \int_{\sigma_{f,m}}^v \log|F(u+i)|du - \int_{\sigma_{f,m}}^v \log|F(u+iT)|du \tag{1.46}$$

for all $v > \sigma_{f,m}$. The fact that $\log|X| \leq |X-1|$ for $X \in \mathbb{C}$ and $-\log|Y| \leq 2|Y-1|$ for $Y \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|Y| \geq 1/2$, and (1.44) imply that

$$\int_1^T \log|F(v+it)|dt \leq \frac{(T-1)}{2}\left(\frac{n_f}{n_f+1}\right)^{v/2} \tag{1.47}$$

and

$$\int_{\sigma_{f,m}}^v \log|F(u+i)|du - \int_{\sigma_{f,m}}^v \log|F(u+iT)|du$$

$$\ll \int_{\sigma_{f,m}}^{v} \left(\frac{n_f}{n_f+1}\right)^{u/2} du \ll 1. \tag{1.48}$$

Combining (1.46)–(1.48) we get

$$I_2 = O(1). \tag{1.49}$$

By the same discussion of an estimate of I_3 in proof of Theorem 1.2, we can obtain

$$I_3 + I_4 = O(\log T). \tag{1.50}$$

To estimate I_1, we calculate

$$I_1 = \frac{T-1}{2} \log \frac{n_f^{2\sigma}}{|\lambda_f(n_f)|^2 (\log n_f)^{2m}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_1^T \log |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt. \tag{1.51}$$

Jensen's inequality gives

$$\int_1^T \log |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \le (T-1) \log \left(\frac{1}{T-1} \int_1^T |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \right). \tag{1.52}$$

Combining (1.45), (1.49)–(1.52), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0,\\ \sigma \le \mathrm{Re}\ \rho \le \sigma_{f,m},\\ 1 \le \mathrm{Im}\ \rho \le T}} (\mathrm{Re}\ \rho - \sigma) \le & \frac{T-1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T-1} \int_1^T |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \right) + \\ & + \frac{T-1}{4\pi} \log \frac{n_f^{2\sigma}}{|\lambda_f(n_f)|^2 (\log n_f)^{2m}} + O(\log T). \end{aligned} \tag{1.53}$$

First, we consider the mean square of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ for $\mathrm{Re}\ s > 1$. Then we can calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^T |L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \\ & = \sum_{n_1,n_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\lambda_f(n_1)} \lambda_f(n_2) (\log n_1)^m (\log n_2)^m}{(n_1 n_2)^\sigma} \int_{\max\{n_1,n_2\}}^T \left(\frac{n_1}{n_2}\right)^{it} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&(T-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2(\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}}+\\
&+\frac{1}{i}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=1,\\ n_1\neq n_2}}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{\lambda_f(n_1)n_1^{-iT}}\lambda_f(n_2)n_2^{-iT}(\log n_1)^m(\log n_2)^m}{(n_1n_2)^{\sigma}\log(n_1/n_2)}-\\
&-\frac{1}{i}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=1,\\ n_1\neq n_2}}^{\infty}\frac{\overline{\lambda_f(n_1)n_1^{-i\max\{n_1,n_2\}}}\lambda_f(n_2)n_2^{-i\max\{n_1,n_2\}}(\log n_1)^m(\log n_2)^m}{(n_1n_2)^{\sigma}\log(n_1/n_2)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.54}
$$

By the same discussion for $U_\sigma(x)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_{n_1},\beta_{n_2})=&(\lambda_f(n_1)n_1^{-iT}(\log n_1)^m,\lambda_f(n_2)n_2^{-iT}(\log n_2)^m),\\
&(\lambda_f(n_1)n_1^{-i\max\{n_1,n_2\}}(\log n_1)^m,\lambda_f(n_2)n_2^{-i\max\{n_1,n_2\}}(\log n_2)^m)
\end{aligned}
$$

in [3, p.348, LEMMA 6], we find that the second and third terms on the right-hand side of (1.54) are $=O(1)$ uniformly for $\sigma>1$. Hence, the mean square of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ for $\mathrm{Re}\,s>1$ is obtained as

$$
\int_1^T|L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2dt=(T-1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2(\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}}+O(1). \tag{1.55}
$$

Next the mean square of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$ for $1/2<\mathrm{Re}\,s\leq 1$ is obtained as follows:

Lemma 1.5 ([15, Theorem 1.3])**.** *For any $m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $T>0$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_1^T|L_f^{(m)}(\sigma+it)|^2dt\\
&=\begin{cases}
(T-1)\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2(\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}}+O(T^{2(1-\sigma)}(\log T)^{2m}), & 1/2<\sigma<1,\\
(T-1)\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2(\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}}+O((\log T)^{2m+2}), & \sigma=1.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.56}
$$

Using Rankin's result mentioned in Introduction and the following fact

$$
\int_{n_f}^{\infty}\frac{(\log u)^{2m}}{u^{2\sigma}}du=\frac{(2m)!n_f^{1-2\sigma}}{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}}\sum_{j=0}^{2m}\frac{(\log n_f)^j(2\sigma-1)^j}{j!},
$$

which is obtained by induction, we find that the series of main term of (1.56) is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|^2 (\log n)^{2m}}{n^{2\sigma}} \\
&= -\int_{n_f}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\log u)^{2m}}{u^{2\sigma}} \right)' \sum_{n_f < n \leq u} |\lambda_f(n)|^2 du \\
&= -\frac{C_f (\log n_f)^{2m}}{n_f^{2\sigma-1}} + C_f \int_{n_f}^{\infty} \frac{(\log u)^{2m}}{u^{2\sigma}} du + O\left(\int_{n_f}^{\infty} \frac{(\log u)^{2m}}{u^{2\sigma+2/5}} du \right) \\
&= \frac{(2m)! n_f C_f}{n_f^{2\sigma}} \frac{1}{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(2\sigma-1)^{2m}} \right) \qquad (1.57)
\end{aligned}$$

as $\sigma \to 1/2 + 0$. From (1.53)–(1.57), the following approximate formula is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0, \\ \sigma \leq \mathrm{Re}\ \rho \leq \sigma_{f,m}, \\ 1 \leq \mathrm{Im}\ \leq T}} (\mathrm{Re}\ \rho - \sigma) \\
&\leq \frac{(2m+1)(T-1)}{4\pi} \log \frac{1}{2\sigma-1} + \frac{T-1}{4\pi} \log \frac{(2m)! n_f C_f}{|\lambda_f(n_f)|^2 (\log n_f)^{2m}} + \\
&\quad + O(\log T) + \frac{T-1}{4\pi} \times \\
&\quad \times \begin{cases} \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}(\log T)^{2m}}{T^{2\sigma-1}}\right)\right), & 1/2 < \sigma < 1, \\ \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}(\log T)^{2m+2}}{T}\right)\right), & \sigma = 1, \\ \log\left(1 + O\left(\dfrac{(2\sigma-1)^{2m+1}}{T}\right)\right), & \sigma > 1. \end{cases} \qquad (1.58)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shall give an upper bound of $N_{f,m}(\sigma, T)$. Since $N_{f,m}(\sigma, T)$ is monotonically decreasing function with respect to σ, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{f,m}(\sigma, T) &= N_{f,m}(\sigma, T) - N_{f,m}(\sigma, 1) + C \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_{f,m}} (N_{f,m}(u, T) - N_{f,m}(u, 1)) du + C, \qquad (1.59)
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $\sigma_1 = 1/2 + (\sigma - 1/2)/2$. Note that $\sigma - \sigma_1 = (\sigma - 1/2)/2$, $2\sigma_1 - 1 = \sigma - 1/2$. Since the numbers of zeros of $F_m(s)$ is equal to that of $L_f^{(m)}(s)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_{f,m}} (N_{f,m}(u,T) - N_{f,m}(u,1))du \\
&= \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_{f,m}} \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0,\\ u\le \mathrm{Re}\ \rho\le\sigma_{f,m},\\ 1\le \mathrm{Im}\ \le T}} 1du = \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0,\\ \sigma_1\le \mathrm{Re}\ \rho\le\sigma_{f,m},\\ 1\le \mathrm{Im}\ \rho\le T}} \int_{\sigma_1}^{\mathrm{Re}\ \rho} 1du \\
&= \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0,\\ \sigma_1\le \mathrm{Re}\ \rho\le\sigma_{f,m},\\ 1\le \mathrm{Im}\ \rho\le T}} (\mathrm{Re}\ \rho - \sigma_1). \qquad (1.60)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.58)–(1.60) we obtain (1.10) and (1.9). Hence the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

References

[1] M. Aoki and M. Minamide, *A zero density estimate for the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function*, Journal for Algebra and Number Theory Academia **2** (2012), 361–365.

[2] B. C. Berndt, *The number of zeros for $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 577–580.

[3] A. Good, *Approximative Funktionalgleichungen und Mittelwertsätze für Dirichletreihen, die Spitzenformen assoziiert sind*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 327–361.

[4] E. Hecke, *Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktentwicklung. I*, Math. Ann. **114** (1937), 1–28.

[5] A. A. Karatsuba and S. M. Voronin, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Walter de Gruyter de Gruyter Explosions in Mathematics 5, 1992.

[6] C. G. Lekkerkerker, *On the zeros of a class of Dirichlet series*, Dissertation, Utrecht, 1955.

[7] N. Levinson and H. L. Montgomery, *Zeros of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta-function*, Acta Math. **133** (1974), 49–65.

[8] R. A. Rankin, *Contributions to the theory of Ramanujan's function $\tau(n)$ and similar functions. II. The order of the Fourier coefficients of integral modular forms*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **35** (1939), 357–373.

[9] B. Riemann, *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unterhalb einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1859), 671–680.

[10] A. Speiser, *Geometrisches zur Riemannschen Zetafunktion*, Math. Ann. **110** (1935), 514–521.

[11] R. Spira, *Zero-free regions of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 677–682.

[12] R. Spira, *Another zero-free region for $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 246–247.

[13] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1939.

[14] H. von Mangoldt, *Zu Riemann's Abhandlung 'Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **114** (1895), 255–305.

[15] Y. Yashiro, *Approximate functional equation and mean value formula for the derivatives of L-functions attached to cusp forms*, preprint; arXiv:1402.3716.

[16] C. Y. Yıldırım, *Zeros of $\zeta''(s)$ & $\zeta'''(s)$ in $\sigma < 1/2$*, Turk. J. Math. **24** (2000): 89–108.